

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Ho-Han RYU, et al.

Assignee: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

Title: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY MODULE FOR LIQUID CRYSTAL
DISPLAY DEVICE AND A METHOD FOR ASSEMBLING THE
SAME

Application No.: 09/882,043 Filing Date: June 18, 2001

Examiner: RAO, Shrinivas H. Group Art Unit: 2814

Docket No.: AB-1604 US Confirmation No.: 1375

San Jose, California
_____, 2008

FILED VIA EFS-WEB
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO REVIVE
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Dear Sir:

I am the previous attorney of record who handled the above case from its filing date to on or about December 8, 2005. I hereby state that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

A Final Rejection was mailed by the U.S. Patent Office on May 18, 2004 and Applicant filed a Response on August 13, 2004. Thereafter, an Advisory Action was mailed on September 16, 2004 and Applicant filed a Notice of Appeal on November 17, 2004 followed by a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) on January 14, 2005. The

RCE was filed with an Information Disclosure Statement. It was Applicant's understanding that the Request for Continued Examination would continue to keep the prosecution open and it was never Applicant's or my intent to abandon the case. A Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment was filed on July 27, 2005 and we have been waiting for over three years for the Petition to get a decision. Hence, the entire delay from November 18, 2004 to the date this statement is submitted is unintentional.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2257 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time and/or fee for additional claims, which may be required.



Hae-Chan Park
Reg. No. 50,114